arXiv:math/0701448v1 [math.SP] 16 Jan 2007

Lyapunov functions for periodic matrix-valued Jacobi operators

Evgeny Korotyaev [*] Anton Kutsenko [†]

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We consider periodic matrix-valued Jacobi operators. The spectrum of this operator is absolutely continuous and consists of intervals separated by gaps. We define the Lyapunov function, which is analytic on an associated Riemann surface. On each sheet the Lyapunov function has the standard properties of the Lyapunov function for the scalar case. We show that this function has (real or complex) branch points, which we call resonances. We prove that there exist two types of gaps: i) stable gaps, i.e., the endpoints are periodic and anti-periodic eigenvalues, ii) unstable (resonance) gaps, i.e., the endpoints are resonances (real branch points). We show that some spectral data determine the spectrum (counting multiplicity) of the Jacobi operator.

1 Introduction and main results

Consider self-adjoint matrix-valued Jacobi operators $\mathcal{J}$ acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})^m$ and are given by

$$(\mathcal{J}y)_n = a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n + a_{n-1}^\top y_{n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad y_n \in \mathbb{C}^m, y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})^m, m \geqslant 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where $a_n, b_n = b_n^\top$ are p-periodic sequences of the $m \times m$ real matrices and $\det a_n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is well known that the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ of $\mathcal{J}$ is absolutely continuous and consists of non-degenerated intervals $[\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-], \lambda_{n-1}^+ < \lambda_n^- \leqslant \lambda_n^+, n = 1, ..., N < \infty$. These intervals are separated by the gaps $\gamma_n = (\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+)$ with the length > 0. Introduce the fundamental $m \times m$ matrix-valued solutions $\varphi = (\varphi_n(z))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, \vartheta = (\vartheta_n(z))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the equation

$$a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n + a_{n-1}^\top y_{n-1} = z y_n, \qquad \varphi_0 \equiv \vartheta_1 \equiv 0,\ \varphi_1 \equiv \vartheta_0 \equiv I_m, \quad (z, n) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.2)$$

where I_m is the identity $m \times m$ matrix. We define the monodromy $2m \times 2m$ matrix $\mathscr{M}_p$ and the trace $T_n, n \geqslant 1$ by

$$\mathscr{M}_p(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_p(z) & \varphi_p(z) \\ \vartheta_{p+1}(z) & \varphi_{p+1}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_n(z) = \operatorname{Tr} \mathscr{M}_p^n(z). \quad (1.3)$$

---

[*] Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Rudower Chaussee 25, 12489, Berlin, Germany, e-mail: evgeny@math.hu-berlin.de

[†] Department of Mathematics of Sankt-Petersburg State University, Russia e-mail: kucenkoa@rambler.u

Introduce the modified monodromy matrix M and the determinant D by

$$M = P_0 \mathscr{M}_p P_0^{-1}, \quad P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}, \qquad D(z,\tau) = \det(M(z) - \tau I_{2m}), \ \tau, z \in \mathbb{C}. \qquad (1.4)$$

Let $\tau_j = \tau_j(z), j \in \mathbb{N}_{2m}$ be the eigenvalues of $M(z)$, where $\mathbb{N}_m = \{1,,..,m\}$. An eigenvalue of $M(z)$ is called a *multiplier*.

Theorem (Lyapunov-Poincaré) *i) The following identities hold*

$$M^\top J M = J, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1.5)$$

$$D(z,\tau) = \tau^{2m} D(z, \tau^{-1}), \qquad all \quad z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \neq 0. \qquad (1.6)$$

ii) If $\tau(z)$ is a multiplier of multiplicity $d \geqslant 1$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{R}$), then $\tau^{-1}(z)$ (or $\overline{\tau}(z)$) is a multiplier of multiplicity d. Moreover, each $M(z), z \in \mathbb{C}$, has exactly $2m$ multipliers $\tau_j^{\pm 1}(z), j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ and $\sigma(\mathscr{J}) = \cup_{j=1}^m \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\tau_j(z)| = 1\}$.
iii) If $\tau(z)$ is a simple multiplier for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\tau(z)| = 1$, then $\tau'(z) \neq 0$.

It is well known that the spectrum of the scalar ($m = 1$) Jacobi operator $\mathscr{J}$ is absolutely continuous and $\sigma(\mathscr{J}) = \cup_1^p [\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-]$, where $\lambda_0^+ < \lambda_1^- \leqslant \lambda_1^+ < ... < \lambda_p^- \leqslant \lambda_{p-1}^+ < \lambda_p^-$ and $\lambda_n^\pm$ are 2-periodic eigenvalues. The intervals $[\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-], n \in \mathbb{N}_p$ are separated by gaps $\gamma_n = (\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^-)$ of lengths $|\gamma_n| \geqslant 0$. If a gap γ_n is degenerate, i.e. $|\gamma_n| = 0$, then the corresponding segments σ_n, σ_{n+1} merge. Recall that the Lyapunov function $\Delta(z) = \frac{\varphi_{p+1}(z) + \vartheta_p(z)}{2}$ and the spectrum $\sigma(\mathscr{J}) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ \Delta(z) \in [-1,1]\}$. Note that $(-1)^{p-n} \Delta(\lambda_n^\pm) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_p$. We recall well-known facts (see e.g. [P] or [KKu]).

Theorem 1.1. *A real polynomial F is the Lyapunov function for some scalar p-periodic Jacobi operator with numbers $a_n > 0, b_n \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ iff $F(z) = cz^p + O(z^{p-1})$ as $z \to \infty$ for some $c > 0$ and $F'(z_j) = 0, \ \ (-1)^{p-j} F(z_j) \geqslant 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{p-1}$ and for some $z_1 < ... < z_{p-1}$.*

Remark. 1) Zeros of $\Delta - t$ for any fixed $t \in [-1,1]$ and a constant $c > 0$ determine Δ.
2) $\sigma(\mathscr{J}) = \sigma(\widetilde{\mathscr{J}})$ for some Jacobi operators $\mathscr{J}, \widetilde{\mathscr{J}}$ iff $\Delta = \widetilde{\Delta}$, where $\Delta, \widetilde{\Delta}$ are the corresponding Lyapunov functions.
3) If $a_n = 1, b_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\Delta = \mathscr{T}_p(\frac{z}{2})$, where $\mathscr{T}_p$ is the Chebyshev polynomial.

Before we describe the content of our paper, we briefly comment on background literature for matrix-valued Jacobi operators. Inverse spectral theory for scalar periodic Jacobi operators is well understood, see a book [T] and papers [BGGK], [K], [KKu], [P], [vM] and references therein. The corresponding theory for periodic Jacobi matrices with matrix-valued coefficients is still largely a wide open field. Some new particular results were recently obtained by Gesztesy and coauthors [CG],[CGR],[GKM] and see references therein. Note that for finite Jacobi matrices with matrix-valued coefficients the complete solution of the inverse problem was given recently by Chelkak and Korotyaev [CK].

The eigenvalues of $M(z)$ are the zeros of the equation $D(\tau, z) = 0$. This is an algebraic equation in τ of degree $2m$, where the coefficients are polynomials of z. It is well known (see e.g. Chapter 8, [Fo]) that the roots $\tau_j(z), j \in \mathbb{N}_{2m}$ constitute one or several branches of one or several analytic functions that have only algebraic singularities in $\mathbb{C}$. Thus the number of eigenvalues of $M(z)$ is a constant N_e with the exception of some special values of z (see below the definition of a resonance). There is a finite number of such points on the plane. If the functions $\tau_j(z), j \in \mathbb{N}_{2m}$ are all distinct, then $N_e = 2m$. If some of them are identical, then $N_e < 2m$ and $M(z)$ is permanently degenerate.

If $m = 1$, then the Riemann surface for the multipliers has 2 sheets, but the Lyapunov function is entire. Similarly, in the case $m \geqslant 2$ it is more convenient for us to construct the Riemann surface for the Lyapunov functions given by $\Delta_j(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_j(z) + \tau_j^{-1}(z)),\ j \in \mathbb{N}_m$, which has m sheets (see the equation (1.7)). Note that the Lyapunov-Poincaré Theorem gives $\sigma(\mathcal{J}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \{z : \Delta_j(z) \in [-1, 1]\}$. Let $\#A$ be a number of elements of a set A.

Theorem 1.2. *There exist analytic functions* $\widetilde{\Delta}_s, s = 1, .., p_0 \leqslant m$ *on the* p_s*-sheeted Riemann surface* $\mathscr{R}_s, p_s \geqslant 1$ *having the following properties:*
i) There exist disjoint subsets $\omega_s \subset \mathbb{N}_m, s \in \mathbb{N}_{p_0}, \bigcup \omega_s = \mathbb{N}_m$ *such that all branches of* $\widetilde{\Delta}_s, s \in \mathbb{N}_{p_0}$ *have the form* $\Delta_j(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_j(z) + \tau_j^{-1}(z)),\ j \in \omega_s$ *and satisfy*

$$\frac{D(z,\tau)}{(2\tau)^m} = \prod_1^{p_0} \Phi_s(z,\nu), \qquad \Phi_s(z,\nu) = \prod_{j\in\omega_s} (\nu - \Delta_j(z)), \qquad \nu = \frac{\tau + \tau^{-1}}{2}, \quad \tau \neq 0, \tag{1.7}$$

for any $z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$, *where the functions* $\Phi_s(z, \nu)$ *are some polynomials with respect to* $\nu, z \in \mathbb{C}$. *If* $\Delta_i = \Delta_j$ *for some* $i \in \omega_k, j \in \omega_s$, *then* $\Phi_k = \Phi_s$ *and* $\widetilde{\Delta}_k = \widetilde{\Delta}_s$.
ii) Let some branch $\Delta_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ *be real analytic on some interval* $Y = (\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathbb{R}$ *and* $-1 < \Delta_j(z) < 1$ *for any* $z \in Y$. *Then* $\Delta_j'(z) \neq 0$ *for each* $z \in Y$.
iii) All functions $\rho, \rho_s, s \in \mathbb{N}_{p_0}, \#\omega_s \geqslant 2$ *given by* (1.8) *are polynomials and*

$$\rho = \prod_1^{N_0} \rho_s, \quad \rho_s(z) = \prod_{i<j, i,j\in\omega_s} (\Delta_i(z) - \Delta_j(z))^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \textit{where} \quad \rho_s = 1, \quad \textit{if } \#\omega_s = 1. \tag{1.8}$$

iv) Each endpoint of a gap $(\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+)$ *is a periodic (or anti-periodic) eigenvalue or a real branch point of some* $\Delta_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$, *which is a zero of* ρ *(below such points are called resonances).*
v) Let $\tau_j^0, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ *be eigenvalues of a matrix* $A_p = (a_1a_2..a_p)^{-1}$. *Then following asymptotics hold*

$$\Delta_j(z) = \frac{z^p}{2}(\tau_j^0 + O(z^{-\frac{1}{m}})), \quad \tau_j(z) = z^p\tau_j^0 + O(z^{-\frac{1}{m}})), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_m, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\rho_s(z) = z^{p|\omega_s|(|\omega_s|-1)}(c_s + O(z^{-\frac{1}{m}})), \quad c_s = 2^{|\omega_s|(1-|\omega_s|)} \prod_{k<j,k,j\in\omega_s} (\tau_k^0 - \tau_j^0)^2, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_{p_0} \tag{1.10}$$

as $|z| \to \infty$ *Moreover, if* $\tau_j^0 \neq \tau_k^0$ *for all* $j, k \in \omega_s, j \neq k$, *then* $c_s \neq 0$ *and* $\rho_s(z) = z^{|\omega_s|(|\omega_s|-1)}(c_s + O(z^{-1}))$ *as* $|z| \to \infty$.

Definition. *The number* z_0 *is a* **resonance** *of* $\mathcal{J}$, *if* z_0 *is a zero of* ρ *given by* (1.8).

Example. Let $a_n = \operatorname{diag}\{a_{1,n}, a_{2,n}, ..., a_{m,n},\}$ and $b_n = \operatorname{diag}\{b_{1,n}, b_{2,n}, ..., b_{m,n},\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for some $a_{j,n} > 0, b_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}, (j,n) \in \mathbb{N}_m \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then the operator $\mathcal{J} = \oplus_1^m \mathcal{J}_j$, where $\mathcal{J}_j$ is a scalar Jacobi operator, acting in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ and is given by

$$(\mathcal{J}_j y)_n = a_{j,n} y_{n+1} + a_{j,n-1} y_{n-1} + b_{j,n} y_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

In this case each Δ_j is the standard Lyapunov function for $\mathcal{J}_j$, and the properties of Δ_j is well known, see Theorem 1.1 and [T]. Thus $\rho = 1$, since $\omega_j = \{j\}$. ■

Below we show the following identity (see (3.2))

$$D(z,\tau) = c\tau^m \prod_{j=1}^{mp} (z - \lambda_j(\tau)), \quad z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \ \tau \neq 0, \quad c = (-1)^m \det A_p, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\lambda_j(\tau)$ are zeros of the polynomial $D(z,\tau) = 0$ for fixed τ. Define the set $\Lambda(\tau) = \{\lambda_n(\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}_{mp}\}, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we obtain $\sigma(\mathcal{J}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{mp} \sigma_j$, where $\sigma_j = \lambda_j(\mathbb{S}^1), \mathbb{S}^1 = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : |\tau| = 1\}$ is the bounded close set. Ler $\mathbb{N}_m^0 = \{0, ..., m\}$ for $m \geqslant 0$. We describe minimum spectral data which determine all Lyapunov functions $\Delta_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ for some Jacobi operator.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\varkappa_k \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{N}_m^0$ satisfy $\cos\varkappa_n \neq \cos\varkappa_j$ for all $j \neq n$.*
i) Let $\Lambda_0 = \Lambda(e^{i\varkappa_0})$ and let $\Lambda_j \subset \Lambda(e^{i\varkappa_j}), \#\Lambda_j = (m-j)p+1, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ for some Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}$. Then the spectral data $\Lambda_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_m^0$, determine the polynomial $D(\cdot,\cdot)$, all Lyapunov functions $\Delta_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ and the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ (counted according to its multiplicity).
ii) Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 \subset \Lambda_1$ and let $\#\widetilde{\Lambda}_1 = \#\Lambda_1 - 1$. Then there exist infinitely many Jacobi operators, having the same spectral data Λ_0, $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$, Λ_j, $j = 2, ...m$, but different determinants.

Example. Note that if $e^{ik\varkappa_j} = 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lambda_n(e^{i\varkappa_j}), n \in \mathbb{N}_{mp}$ are k-periodic eigenvalues. Consider the case $m = 2$. Let $\varkappa_0 = 0, \varkappa_1 = \pi$. Then Λ_0 is a set of all periodic eigenvalues and Λ_1 is a set of some anti-periodic eigenvalues such that $\#\Lambda_0 = 2p, \#\Lambda_1 = p+1$. Let the set $\Lambda_2 = \{\widetilde{\lambda}\}$, where $\widetilde{\lambda} \in \sigma(\mathcal{J})$ is a some four-periodic eigenvalue, i.e., $\varkappa_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Due to Theorem 1.3, the spectral data $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2$ determine the polynomial $\det(\mathscr{M}_p(z) - \tau I_m)$, the spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{J})$ and the Lyapunov functions Δ_1, Δ_2. ■

Theorem 1.4. *i) Let $p \geqslant 3$. Then each sum $\sum_{j=1}^{mp} \lambda_j^s(\tau), s \in \mathbb{N}_{p-1}$ does not depend on $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular, the following identities hold*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{mp} \lambda_n(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr} b_n, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{mp} \lambda_n^2(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr}(b_n^2 + 2a_n a_n^\top), \qquad \text{all} \quad \tau \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{1.12}$$

ii) The following estimate is fulfilled:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{pm} \lambda_n^2(\tau) \geqslant 2pm(\det A_p)^{\frac{2}{pm}}, \tag{1.13}$$

where the identity holds true iff $b_n \equiv 0$, $a_n a_n^\top = (\det A_p)^{\frac{2}{pm}} I_m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_p$.
iii) Let numbers $\varkappa_j \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ satisfy $\cos\varkappa_n \neq \cos\varkappa_j$ for all $j \neq n$. Then the eigenvalues $\lambda_{nm+k}(e^{i\varkappa_j}) = 2\cos\frac{1}{p}(\varkappa_j + 2\pi n)$ for all $(j,n,k) \in \mathbb{N}_m^0 \times \mathbb{N}_{p-1}^0 \times \mathbb{N}_p$ iff $b_n = 0, a_n a_n^\top = I_m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{pm}$ and $\prod_{n=1}^{p} a_n = I_m$.

We describe a priori estimates

Proposition 1.5. *Let* $\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty = \max\{|a_n(j,k)|, |b_n(j,k)|\}$, *where the matrices* $a_n = \{a_n(j,k)\}$, $b_n = \{b_n(j,k)\}$. *Then the following estimates hold*

$$\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty \leqslant \max\{|\lambda_0^+|, |\lambda_N^-|\} = \|\mathcal{J}\| \leqslant (4m-1)\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty, \tag{1.14}$$

$$\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty + \frac{|\lambda_N^- + \lambda_0^+|}{2} \leqslant \frac{\lambda_N^- - \lambda_0^+}{2} \leqslant (4m-1)\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty, \quad if \quad \sum_{j=1}^p \operatorname{Tr} b_j = 0. \tag{1.15}$$

Remark. A priori estimates for scalar Jacobi operators were obtained in [BGGK], [K], [KKr].

The plan of our paper is as follows. In Sect. 2 we prove Theorem 1.2. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 1.3, 1.4 and Proposition 1.5. In Section 4 we consider examples for the case $m = p = 2$, where we construct the complex and real resonances. In Sect. 5 we shortly recall the well known results about the properties of point spectrum and the absence of singular continuous spectrum. In the proof we use [CK], [BBK].

2 The Lyapunov functions

We recall well-known results. For any solution $y = (y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of the equation $a_n y_{n+1} + b_n y_n + a_{n-1}^\top y_{n-1} = z y_n$ we define

$$f_n = \begin{pmatrix} y_n \\ y_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad and \qquad \mathcal{T}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -a_n^{-1}a_{n-1}^\top & a_n^{-1}(z-b_n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then f_n satisfies $f_n = \mathcal{T}_n f_{n-1}$. Thus the matrix-valued function $\mathscr{M}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta_n & \varphi_n \\ \vartheta_{n+1} & \varphi_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $\mathscr{M}_n = \mathcal{T}_n \mathscr{M}_{n-1}, \mathscr{M}_0 = I_{2m}$. This gives the monodromy matrix $\mathscr{M}_n = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{T}_j$, $n \geqslant 1$, where $\prod_{j=1}^n X_j = X_n ... X_1$ for matrices X_j. We rewrite $\mathcal{T}_n$ in the form

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & a_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & z-b_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{n-1}^\top & 0 \\ 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix} = P_n^{-1} R_n \mathcal{T}_n^0 P_{n-1}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$P_n = a_n^\top \oplus I_m, \quad R_n = P_n \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 \\ 0 & a_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = a_n^\top \oplus a_n^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{T}_n^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & z-b_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.3}$$

Then using (2.2), we get

$$\mathscr{M}_n = \prod_{j=1}^n P_j^{-1} R_j \mathcal{T}_j^0 P_{j-1} = P_n^{-1} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^n R_j \mathcal{T}_j^0 \Big) P_0 = P_n^{-1} M_n P_0, \quad M_n = \prod_{j=1}^n R_j \mathcal{T}_j^0. \tag{2.4}$$

Matrices $R_j, \mathcal{T}_j$, $j \geqslant 1$ satisfy

$$R_j^\top J R_j = J, \quad (\mathcal{T}_j^0)^\top J \mathcal{T}_j^0 = J, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ -I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.5}$$

which yields

$$M_n^\top J M_n = J, \quad M_n^{-1} = -JM_n^\top J, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{2.6}$$

Using (2.4) we obtain

$$M_n = P_n \mathscr{M}_n P_0^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_n^\top \vartheta_n (a_0^\top)^{-1} & a_n^\top \varphi_n \\ \vartheta_{n+1}(a_0^\top)^{-1} & \varphi_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_n^\top = \begin{pmatrix} a_0^{-1}\vartheta_n^\top a_n & a_0^{-1}\vartheta_{n+1}^\top \\ \varphi_n^\top a_n & \varphi_{n+1}^\top \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$M_n^{-1} = -JM_n^\top J = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{n+1}^\top & -\varphi_n^\top a_n \\ -a_0^{-1}\vartheta_{n+1}^\top & a_0^{-1}\vartheta_n^\top a_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

Due to $M_n M_n^{-1} = I_{2m}$ and $M_n^{-1} M_n = I_{2m}$ we deduce that

$$a_n^\top \vartheta_n (a_0^\top)^{-1} \varphi_{n+1}^\top - a_n^\top \varphi_n (a_0^\top)^{-1} \vartheta_{n+1}^\top = I_m, \qquad \vartheta_n (a_0^\top)^{-1} \varphi_n^\top = \varphi_n (a_0^\top)^{-1} \vartheta_n^\top, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\vartheta_n^\top a_n \varphi_{n+1} - \vartheta_{n+1}^\top a_n \varphi_n = a_0, \quad \varphi_{n+1}^\top a_n^\top \varphi_n = \varphi_n^\top a_n^\top \varphi_{n+1}, \quad \vartheta_{n+1}^\top a_n^\top \vartheta_n = \vartheta_n^\top a_n^\top \vartheta_{n+1}. \tag{2.10}$$

Recall the asymptotics of fundamental solutions

$$\varphi_{n+1} = z^n A_n + O(\lambda^{n-1}), \;\; \vartheta_{n+1} = O(z^{n-1}), \; n \geqslant 1, \quad A_n = (a_1 a_2 ... a_n)^{-1} \tag{2.11}$$

as $z \to \infty$. Substituting last asymptotics into $\mathscr{M}_p$ we obtain

$$\mathscr{M}_p(z) = z^p \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_p \end{pmatrix} + O(z^{p-1}). \tag{2.12}$$

It is well known that the determinant $D(z, \tau)$ satisfies

$$D(z,\tau) = \det(M(z) - \tau I) = \tau^{2m} + \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \tau^{2m-j} \xi_j(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{2m} (\tau - \tau_j(z)), \quad z, \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\xi_0 = 1, \quad \xi_1 = -T_1, \quad \xi_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(T_2 + T_1\xi_1), \quad ..., \xi_s = -\frac{1}{s}\sum_0^{s-1} T_{s-j}\xi_j, .., \tag{2.14}$$

see p.331-333 [RS]. The identity (1.6) gives $\xi_j = \xi_{2m-j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_m$. Recall that the Chebyshev polynomials $\mathscr{T}_n, n \geqslant 1$ satisfy:

$$\mathscr{T}_n(\nu) = \frac{\tau^n + \tau^{-n}}{2} = 2^{m-1} \sum_0^{[\frac{n}{2}]} c_{n,j} \nu^{n-2j}, \quad c_{n,j} = (-1)^j n \frac{(n-j-1)!}{(n-2j)!j!} 2^{n-2j-m}, \tag{2.15}$$

$\nu = \frac{\tau + \tau^{-1}}{2}$, see [AS]. Then (2.13) and the identity $\frac{\tau^n + \tau^{-n}}{2} = \mathscr{T}_n(\nu)$ yield

$$\frac{D(\tau, z)}{(2\tau)^m} = \frac{(\tau^m + \tau^{-m})}{2^m} + \xi_1 \frac{(\tau^{m-1} + \tau^{1-m})}{2^m} + ... + 2^{-m}\xi_m = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{\xi_j}{2^{m-1}} \mathscr{T}_j(\nu), \tag{2.16}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr} M^n(z)=\sum_1^m \frac{\tau_j^n+\tau_j^{-n}}{2}=\sum_{j=1}^m \mathscr{T}_n(\Delta_j(z)),\quad z\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{2.17}$$

The substitution of (2.15) into the equality (2.16) gives

$$\Phi(\nu,z)=\frac{D(\tau,z)}{(2\tau)^m}=\sum_0^m \phi_j(z)\nu^{m-j}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\phi_0=1,\quad \phi_1=c_{m-1,0}\xi_1=\frac{\xi_1}{2},\quad \phi_2=c_{m,1}+c_{m-2,0}\xi_2,\quad \phi_3=c_{m-1,1}\xi_1+c_{m-3,0}\xi_3,..., \tag{2.19}$$

$$\phi_{2n}=c_{m,n}+c_{m-2,n-1}\xi_2+c_{m-4,n-2}\xi_4+...+c_{m-2n,0}\xi_{2n}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\phi_{2n+1}=c_{m-1,n}\xi_1+c_{m-3,n-1}\xi_3+c_{m-5,n-2}\xi_5+...+c_{m-2n-1,0}\xi_{2n+1}. \tag{2.21}$$

Let $a_n=I_m, b_n=0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and denote the corresponding Jacobi operator by $\mathscr{J}^0$. If D^0 is the corresponding determinant, then we obtain $D^0(\tau,z)=(\tau^2+1-2\tau\mathscr{T}_p(\frac{z}{2}))^m$ and $\Phi^0=\frac{D^0(\tau,z)}{(2\tau)^m}=(\nu-\mathscr{T}_p(\frac{z}{2}))^m=\sum_0^m C_j^m(-\mathscr{T}_p(\frac{z}{2}))^j\nu^{m-j}$, where $C_m^N=\frac{N!}{(N-m)!m!}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. i) We have the identity $\Phi(\nu,z)=\frac{D(\tau,z)}{(2\tau)^m}=\sum_0^m\phi_j(z)\nu^{m-j}$, see (2.18), where the polynomials ϕ_j are given by (2.19)-(2.21). $\Delta_1(z),..,\Delta_m(z)$ are the roots of $\Phi(z,\nu)=0$ for fixed $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Then the statement i) follows from the well-known about the zeros of a polynomial $\sum_0^m\nu^{m-j}w_j(z)$, where w_j is a polynomials in z, see [Fo].

ii) We have $\Delta_j'(z)=\frac{1}{2}(1-\tau^{-2}(z))\tau'(z)\neq 0, z\in Y$, since by the Lyapunov-Poincaré Theorem, $\tau'(z)\neq 0$ for all $z\in Y$.

iii) Recall that the resultant for polynomials $f=\tau^n+\alpha_1\tau^{n-1}+..+\alpha_n,\ g=b_0\tau^s+\beta_1\tau^{s-1}+..+\beta_s$ is given by

$$R(f,g)=\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & .. & \alpha_n & 0 & 0 & .. & 0\\ 0 & 1 & \alpha_1 & .. & \alpha_n & 0 & .. & 0\\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & .\\ 0 & ... & 0 & 1 & \alpha_1 & & .. & \alpha_n\\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & .. & \beta_s & 0 & .. & 0 & \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \beta_1 & .. & \beta_s & 0 & .. & 0\\ . & . & . & . & . & . & . & .\\ 0 & ... & 0 & \beta_0 & \beta_1 & & .. & \beta_s \end{pmatrix}\begin{array}{l}\left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}1\\0\\.\\0\end{matrix}}\right\}n\text{ lines}\\ \left.\vphantom{\begin{matrix}1\\0\\.\\0\end{matrix}}\right\}s\text{ lines}\end{array}. \tag{2.22}$$

The discriminant of the polynomial f with zeros $\tau_1,..,\tau_n$ is given by

$$\text{Dis}f=\prod_{i<j}(\tau_i-\tau_j)^2=(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}R(f,f').$$

Thus we have $\text{Dis}\Phi_j(\lambda,\tau)=\prod_{i<s,i,s\in\omega_j}(\Delta_i(\lambda)-\Delta_s(\lambda))^2=(-1)^{\frac{N_j(N_j-1)}{2}}R(\Phi_j,\Phi_j')$ is polynomial, since $\Phi_j(\lambda,\tau)$ is the polynomial. Then the function $\rho=\prod_1^{N_0}\text{Dis }\Phi_j$ is polynomial.

iv) Each gap has the form $\gamma_n=(\lambda_n^-,\lambda_n^+)=\cap_{j=1}^m\gamma_{n,j}$, where $\gamma_{n,j}=(\lambda_{n,j}^-,\lambda_{n,j}^+)\subset\mathbb{R}$ is some finite interval such that $\Delta_j(z)\notin[-1,1]$ for all $z\in\gamma_{n,j}$. Note that $\Delta_j(\lambda_{n,j}^-)=\pm$ or $\Delta_j(\lambda_{n,j}^+)=\pm1$ or $\lambda_{n,j}^{\pm}$ is the branch point of $\Delta_j(z)$, otherwise we have a contradiction.

v) Using (2.12) we define F by

$$F(t) = t^p \mathscr{M}_p = F_0 + tF_1(t), \qquad F_0 = 0_m \oplus A_p, \quad t = z^{-1},$$

where F_1 is a some matrix polynomial and $F_1(t) = \text{const} + O(t)$ as $t \to 0$. Let $\widetilde{\tau}_j(t), j \in \mathbb{N}_{2m}$ be the eigenvalues of $F(t)$. Recall that $\tau_j^0, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ are eigenvalues of A_p. Then, $\widetilde{\tau}_j(t)$ are given by convergent Puiseux series (the Taylor series in τ^{1/m_j})

$$\widetilde{\tau}_j(t) = \tau_j^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j,k} t^{\frac{k}{m_j}}, \quad |t| < r, \quad \sum_1^k m_j = m, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{N}, \ j \in w_s, s \in \mathbb{N}_k$$

for some $r > 0$, see p=4, [RS], where $w_1 = \mathbb{N}_{m_1}, w_2 = \mathbb{N}_{m_1+m_2} \setminus w_1, ..., w_k = \mathbb{N}_m \setminus \mathbb{N}_{m-m_k}$ and $\tau_j^0 = \tau_{j'}^0$ for all $j, j' \in w_s$. Here $\widetilde{\tau}_j(t), j \in w_s$ are the branches of one or more multivalued analytic functions with at worst algebraic branch points at $\tau = 0$ and satisfy

$$\tau_j(z) = z^p \widetilde{\tau}_j(t) = z^p(\tau_j^0 + O(z^{-\frac{1}{m_s}})) = \tau_j^0 z^p + O(z^{p-\frac{1}{m_s}}), \qquad j \in w_s.$$

If each eigenvalue τ_j^0 is simple, then each $\widetilde{\tau}_j(t), j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ is analytic for small t, i.e., $m_s = 1$. Thus we obtain $\tau_j(z) = \tau_j^0 z^p + O(z^{p-1}), j \in \mathbb{N}_m$, which yields $2\Delta_j = \tau_j(z) + \frac{1}{\tau_j(z)} = \tau_j^0 z^p + O(z^{p-1})$ and using (1.8), we get asymptotics for ρ_s.

3 Inverse Problems

In order to prove Theorem 1.3 we need the Lemma 3.1-3.3.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $W = \{W_{r,j}\}_{j,r=0}^s$ be a $s \times s$ matrix with components $W_{r,j} = \cos j\varkappa_r$ for some $\varkappa_r \in \mathbb{C}, s \geqslant 1$, where $\cos \varkappa_r \neq \cos \varkappa_{r'}$ for all $r \neq r'$. Then $\det W \neq 0$.*

Proof. Using $\cos jz = \mathscr{T}_j(\cos z)$, where the Chebyshev polynomials $\mathscr{T}_j$ are given by (2.15), we obtain $W_{r,j} = \cos j\varkappa_r = \mathscr{T}_j(\cos \varkappa_r)$. Let $Wy = 0$ for some vector $y = (y_n)_0^s \in \mathbb{C}^{s+1}, y \neq 0$. Then we deduce that a polynomial $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^s y_j \mathscr{T}_j(x), P \neq 0$ has distinct zeros $\cos \varkappa_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_s^0$, which gives a contradiction, since $\deg P \leqslant \deg \mathscr{T}_s = s$. Thus W is invertible. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let $c = (-1)^m \det A_p$. The polynomials $\xi_j(z), j \in \mathbb{N}_m$, given by (2.13), satisfy*

$$\xi_j(z) = \xi_{2m-j}(z) = O(z^{pj}), \qquad \xi_m(z) = cz^{pm} + O(z^{pm-1}) \quad \text{as } z \to \infty, \ , \tag{3.1}$$

$$c\prod_{n=1}^{mp}(z - \lambda_n(\tau)) = \frac{D(z,\tau)}{\tau^m} = (\tau^m + \tau^{-m}) + \xi_m(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}(\tau^{m-j} + \tau^{j-m})\xi_j(z). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. Using (1.6), (2.13) and Viete's formulas, we get

$$\xi_j(z) = \xi_{2m-j}(z) = (-1)^j \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < .. < i_j \leqslant 2m} \prod_{s=1}^j \tau_{i_s}(z), \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant m. \tag{3.3}$$

Asymptotics (1.9) give $\tau_j(z) = \tau_j^0 z^p + o(z^p)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ and the Lyapunov-Poincaré Theorem yields $\tau_{m+j}(z) = \frac{1}{\tau_j(z)} = O(z^{-p})$ as $z \to \infty$, since each $\tau_j^0 \neq 0$. Then, using (3.3), we obtain

$$\xi_j(z) = O(z^{pj}), \quad \xi_m(z) = (-1)^m \prod_{j=1}^m \tau_j^0 z^{pm} + o(z^{pm}) = (-1)^m \det A_p z^{pm} + O(z^{pm-1}).$$

Identity (3.2) follows from last identity and (1.6), (2.13). ■

We need the following Lemma about polynomials.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(z_n)_1^k \in \mathbb{C}^k$ and $(c_j)_0^s \in \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$ for some integers $s, k \geqslant 1$. Then there exists a unique polynomial $r(z)$ satisfying for some polynomial g the following relations*

$$r(z) = z^k \sum_{j=0}^s c_j z^j + O(z^{k-1}) \quad \text{as } z \to \infty \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = h(z)g(z), \quad h(z) = \prod_{n=1}^k (z - z_n), \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Introduce the linear space of polynomials $\mathcal{P}_s = \{g : \deg g \leqslant s\}$, $\dim \mathcal{P}_s = s+1$. Note that $g \in \mathcal{P}_s$. Define the linear operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}_s \to \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$ by

$$(\mathcal{A}g)_j = \frac{1}{n!}\frac{d^n}{dz^n}\Big(h(z)g(z)\Big)|_{z=0}, \quad n = k+j-1, \ j \in \mathbb{N}_{s+1}, \quad g \in \mathcal{P}_s.$$

We rewrite $\mathcal{A}g$ in the form $(\mathcal{A}g)_j = c_{j-1}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{s+1}$, where $h(z)g(z) = z^k \sum_{j=0}^s c_j z^j + O(z^{k-1})$ as $z \to \infty$. We deduce that $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{P}_s \to \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$ is an isomorphism, since $\dim \mathcal{P}_s = \dim \mathbb{C}^{s+1} = s+1$ and if $\mathcal{A}g = 0$, then $g = 0$. If $g = \mathcal{A}^{-1}(c_n)_0^s$ and $r(z) = h(z)g(z)$, then $r(z) = z^k \sum_{j=0}^s c_j z^j + O(z^{k-1})$ as $z \to \infty$. Moreover, the polynomial r is unique, since $\mathcal{A}$ is an isomorphism. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Recall that $c = (-1)^m \det A_p$. Define the polynomials $\zeta_0(z) \equiv c^{-1}$, $\zeta_j(z) \equiv c^{-1}\xi_j(z)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_m$. Lemma 3.2 yields $\deg \zeta_j \leqslant pj$ and then $\zeta_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{pj} \zeta_{j,n} z^n$ for some $\zeta_{j,n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Introduce the sets $K_s = \{p(m-s-1)+1, ..., p(m-s)\}$, $s \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}^0$, $K_m = \{0\}$, which satisfy

$$\cup_{s=j}^m K_s = \mathbb{N}_{p(m-j)}^0, \quad K_r \cap K_s = \emptyset, for \ \ all \ \ r \neq s. \tag{3.5}$$

Using (3.2) and (3.5) and setting $h_j = \tau^{-j} + \tau^j$, we obtain

$$q(z,\tau) = \frac{D(z,\tau)}{c\tau^m} = \prod_{n=1}^{pm}(z - \lambda_n(\tau)) = \sum_{j=0}^m h_{m-j}\zeta_j(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m h_j \zeta_{m-j}(z) \tag{3.6}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^m h_j \sum_{n=0}^{p(m-j)} \zeta_{m-j,n} z^n = \sum_{j=0}^m h_j \sum_{s=j}^m \sum_{n \in K_s} \zeta_{m-j,n} z^n = \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{s=j}^m \sum_{n \in K_s} h_j \zeta_{m-j,n} z^n$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{n \in K_s} h_j \zeta_{m-j,n} z^n = \sum_{s=0}^m \sum_{n \in K_s} \sum_{j=0}^s h_j \zeta_{m-j,n} z^n = \sum_{s=0}^m \sum_{n \in K_s} \eta_n(\tau) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{pm} \eta_n(\tau) z^n,$$

where

$$\eta_n(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} h_j \zeta_{m-j,n}, \quad n \in K_s, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_m^0. \tag{3.7}$$

Substituting $\tau = e^{i\varkappa_r}, r \in \mathbb{N}_s^0$ into the identity (3.7) we get

$$\widetilde{\eta}_n = 2W_s y_n, \quad \widetilde{\eta}_n = (\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_r}))_{r=0}^s, \; y_n = (\zeta_{m-j,n})_{j=0}^s, \; W_s = (\cos j\varkappa_r)_{r,j=0}^s, \tag{3.8}$$

for all $(n,s) \in K_s \times \mathbb{N}_m^0$. Due to Lemma 3.1, each matrix $W_s, s \in \mathbb{N}_m^0$ is invertible. Thus (3.8), (3.7) give

$$\eta_n(\tau) = < (\tau^j + \tau^{-j})_{j=0}^s, (2W_s)^{-1}\widetilde{\eta}_n >, \quad (n,s) \in K_s \times \mathbb{N}_m^0 \tag{3.9}$$

where $< \cdot, \cdot >$ is a scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^s$. Then the functions $\eta_n(\cdot), n \in N_s$ are determined by $\widetilde{\eta}_n = (\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_r}))_{r=0}^s$. We will use this fact to determine all functions $\eta_n(\cdot), n \in \mathbb{N}_m$. In order to describe recovering we need the following simple fact.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $0 \leqslant k \leqslant m-1$. Then numbers $\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_j}), (n,j) \in \mathbb{N}_{pm}^0 \times \mathbb{N}_k^0$, the functions η_n, $n \in \cup_{j=0}^k K_j$, the set Λ_{k+1} and the numbers $\varkappa_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_m^0$ determine the numbers $\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_j}), (n,j) \in \mathbb{N}_{pm}^0 \times \mathbb{N}_{k+1}^0$, and the functions η_n, $n \in \cup_{j=0}^{k+1} K_j$.*

Proof. Let $S_{k+1} = (\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_{k+1}}))_{n \in \cup_{j=0}^k K_j} = (\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_{k+1}}))_{n=p(m-k-1)+1}^{pm}$. Using (3.6), we see that the elements of Λ_{k+1} are some zeros of the polynomial $q(z, e^{i\varkappa_{k+1}})$ and recall that $\#\Lambda_{k+1} = p(m-k-1)+1$. Also, using (3.6), we see that the components of vector S_{k+1} are the coefficients of the polynomial $q(z, e^{i\varkappa_{k+1}})$. Then, due to Lemma 3.3, Λ_{k+1} and S_{k+1} uniquely determine the polynomial $q(z, e^{i\varkappa_{k+1}})$ and, using (3.6), we determine $(\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_{k+1}}))_{n=0}^{pm}$. Substituting obtained values $(\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_j}))_{n \in K_{k+1}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{k+1}^0$ into the (3.9), we determine $\eta_n(\tau)$, $n \in K_{k+1}$. ■

Now we describe the recovering procedure. Recall that $q(z,\tau) = \frac{D(z,\tau)}{c\tau^m}$. Substituting the elements of the set Λ_0 into the (3.6), we determine $q(z, e^{i\varkappa_0})$ and $(\eta_n(e^{i\varkappa_0}))_{n=0}^{pm}$. Then (3.9) determines all functions $\eta_n(\tau), n \in K_0$. Step by step, using Lemma 3.4 for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}^0$, we determine $\eta_n(\tau)$, $n \in \cup_{j=0}^m K_j = \mathbb{N}_{pm}^0$. Then (3.6) gives $q(z,\tau)$. Using (2.13) we get $cq(z,\tau) = D(z,\tau) = \tau^{2m} + O(\tau^{2m-1})$ as $\tau \to \infty$, then the polynomial $q(z,\tau)$ uniquely determine constant c and $D(z,\tau)$.

ii) Assume that $a_n, b_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are diagonal, and each $a_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{J} = \oplus_1^m \mathcal{J}_j$, where $\mathcal{J}_j$ are scalar Jacobi operators. Let Δ_j be the Lyapunov functions and let $\widetilde{D}_j$ be the corresponding determinant for the operators $\mathcal{J}_j, j \in \mathbb{N}_m$. Then we deduce that

$$D(z,\tau) = \prod_{j=1}^m \widetilde{D}_j, \; \widetilde{D}_j(z,\tau) = \tau(\tau + \tau^{-1} - 2\Delta_j(z)). \tag{3.10}$$

By Theorem 1.1, the Lyapunov function $\Delta_m(z)$ satisfies $\Delta_m'(z_j^0) = 0$ and $(-1)^{p-j}\Delta_m(z_j^0) = |\Delta_m(z_j^0)| \geqslant 1, \; j \in \mathbb{N}_{p-1}$ for some $z_1^0 < ... < z_p^0$. Then the polynomial $q_s(z) = s(\Delta_m(z) - \cos\varkappa_0) + \cos\varkappa_0, s \geqslant 1$ satisfies

$$(-1)^{p-j} q_s(z_j^0) = s\Big(|\Delta_m(z_j^0)| + (-1)^{p-j}\Big(-1 + \frac{1}{s}\Big)\cos\varkappa_0\Big) \geqslant 1, \quad (q_s)'(z_j^0) = 0, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_{p-1}.$$

Using $\Delta_m = c_m z^p + O(z^{p-1}), c_m > 0$ as $z \to \infty$, we get $q_s(z) = c_m s z^{p-1} + O(z^{p-1})$, $c_m > 0$. Due to Theorem 1.1, q_s is a Lyapunov function for some scalar p-periodic Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}_m^s$. Consider the p-periodic m-dimensional Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J}^s = \mathcal{J}_m^s \oplus \oplus_1^{m-1} \mathcal{J}_j$. Then the determinant for $\mathcal{J}^s$ is given by

$$D_s(z,\tau) = \tau^m(\tau + \tau^{-1} - 2q_s(z)) \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (\tau + \tau^{-1} - 2\Delta_j(z)). \tag{3.11}$$

Using (3.10), (3.11), we see that $\Lambda_0(\mathcal{J}) = \Lambda_0(\mathcal{J}^s)$, where $\Lambda_j(\mathcal{J}), j = 0, 1, 2..$ is the set Λ_j for $\mathcal{J}$. Each Lyapunov function $\Delta_{j_1}(z), j_1 \in \mathbb{N}_{m-1}$ satisfies

$$\Delta_{j_1}(z) - \cos \varkappa_j = c_{j_1} \prod_{n=1}^{p} (z - z_{n+pj_1,j}), \qquad c_{j_1} > 0, \ j \in \mathbb{N}_m, \ \ \textit{for some} \ \ z_{n+pj_1,j} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then using (3.10), (3.11), we deduce that $z_{n+p,j}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{p(m-1)}$ are zeros of each polynomial $D_s(z, e^{i\varkappa_j}), j \in \mathbb{N}_m$, $s \geqslant 1$, where $D_1(z,\tau) = D(z,\tau)$. We take $\Lambda_j(\mathcal{J}^s) = \Lambda_j(\mathcal{J}) = \{z_{p+n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{p(m-j)+1}\}$, $j = 2, .., m$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathcal{J}^s) = \widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathcal{J}) = \{z_{n+p,1}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{p(m-1)}\}$, where $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathcal{J})$ is the set $\widetilde{\Lambda}_1$ for $\mathcal{J}$. But we have $D_s \neq D_1 = D$ for any $s > 1$, since $q_1 = \Delta_m \neq q_s$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. i) Let $g_n(\tau) = (-1)^n \sum_{1 \leqslant j_1 < .. < j_n \leqslant mp} \prod_{s=1}^n \lambda_{j_s}(\tau)$. Lemma 3.2 implies $\xi_j(z) = O(z^{pj})$. Then using (3.2) for fixed $\tau \neq \tau_1 = 1$, $\tau \neq 0$, we obtain

$$c \sum_{j=1}^{mp} z^{mp-j}(g_j(\tau_1) - g_j(\tau)) = c \prod_{j=1}^{mp} (z - \lambda_j(\tau_1)) - c \prod_{j=1}^{mp} (z - \lambda_j(\tau)) =$$

$$= (\tau_1^m + \tau_1^{-m} - \tau^m - \tau^{-m}) + \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} (\tau_1^{m-n} + \tau_1^{n-m} - \tau^{m-n} - \tau^{n-m}) \xi_n(z) = O(z^{(m-1)p}),$$

which yields $g_j(\tau_1) = g_j(\tau)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{p-1}$, since $c \neq 0$. The Newton formulas give

$$u_1 = -g_1, \quad u_n = -n g_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_j u_{n-j}, \quad \textit{where} \quad u_n(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{mp} \lambda_j^n(\tau),$$

which yields that $u_n(\tau) = u_n(\tau_1) = u_n(1)$ for all $(n, \tau) \in \mathbb{N}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{C}$. Due to (5.4), we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{mp} \lambda_j^s(\tau) = \operatorname{Tr} L(\tau)^s, s \geqslant 1, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{mp} \lambda_j(\tau) = \operatorname{Tr} L(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \operatorname{Tr} b_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{mp} \lambda_j^2(\tau) = \operatorname{Tr} L(\tau)^2 = \operatorname{Tr} L(\tau) L^*(\tau) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{p} \|a_j\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \|b_j\|^2. \tag{3.12}$$

ii) Let $\varepsilon_{j,n}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ be eigenvalues of the matrix $a_n a_n^\top, n \in \mathbb{N}_p$. Then (3.12) gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{pm} \lambda_n^2(\tau) \geqslant \sum_{n=1}^{p} \operatorname{Tr} 2 a_n a_n^\top = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{pm} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j,n} \geqslant 2pm \Big(\prod_{j,n} \varepsilon_{j,n} \Big)^{\frac{1}{pm}} = 2pm (\det A_p^2)^{\frac{1}{pm}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Recall that the identity $\sum_{n=1}^{p}\sum_{j=1}^{m}\varepsilon_{j,n} = pm\Big(\prod_{j,n}\varepsilon_{j,n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{pm}}$ holds true iff each $\varepsilon_{j,n}^{pm} = \det A_p^2$, $(j,n)\in\mathbb{N}_m\times\mathbb{N}_p$. Then the statement ii) holds true.

iii) **Sufficiency**. Let $a^0 = (a_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}, b^0 = (b_n^0)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $a_n^0 = I_m, b_n^0 = 0$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Let the Jacobi operator $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}(a, b^0)$, where each matrix $a_n, n\in\mathbb{N}_p$ is unitary and $\prod_1^p a_n = I_m$. Define matrices c_n by $c_1 = I_m$, $c_{n+1} = a_n^\top c_n$, $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $c_{n+p} = c_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and c_n are unitary matrices, since a_n are unitary. Then $U = \operatorname{diag}(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is unitary operator in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})^m$ and $U\mathcal{J}(a,b^0)U^{-1} = \mathcal{J}(a^0,b^0) = \mathcal{J}^0$, which yields

$$\det(M_p - \tau I_{2m}) = \tau^m\prod_{j=1}^{m}(\tau+\tau^{-1} - 2\mathscr{T}_p(z/2)) = \det(M_p^0 - \tau I_{2m}),$$

where M_p, M_p^0 are corresponding determinants and $\mathscr{T}_p$ is the Chebyshev polynomial, see (2.15). Using (1.11) and $\mathscr{T}_p(z) = \cos(p\arccos z)$, we obtain $\lambda_{nm+k}(e^{i\varkappa_j}) = 2\cos\frac{1}{p}(\varkappa_j + 2\pi n)$ for all $(j,n,k)\in\mathbb{N}_m^0\times\mathbb{N}_{p-1}^0\times\mathbb{N}_p$. Also, using i), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{pm}\lambda_n(e^{i\varkappa_j})^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{p}\operatorname{Tr}((b_n^0)^2 + 2a_n^0 a_n^{0\top}) = 2pm,\quad j\in\mathbb{N}_m^0. \tag{3.14}$$

Necessity. Let $\lambda_{nm+k}(e^{i\varkappa_j}) = 2\cos\frac{1}{p}(\varkappa_j + 2\pi n)$ for all $(j,n,k)\in\mathbb{N}_m^0\times\mathbb{N}_{p-1}^0\times\mathbb{N}_p$. Then Theorem 1.3.ii uniquely determines

$$\tau^{-m}D(z,\tau) = \prod_{j=1}^{m}(\tau+\tau^{-1} - 2\mathscr{T}_p(z/2)) \tag{3.15}$$

(see above **Sufficiency**). Identities (1.11) give $\det A_p = 1$. Using (3.14) and Corollary 1.4.ii, we obtain $b_n = 0$, $a_n a_n^\top = I_m$. Due to (1.7), (1.9) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\Delta_j(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_j(z) + \tau_j^{-1}(z)) = \frac{\tau_j^0 z^p}{2} + O(z^{p-1}),\quad \Delta_j(z) = \mathscr{T}_p(z/2) = \frac{z^p}{2} + O(z^{p-1}),$$

then $\tau_j^0 = 1$, $j\in\mathbb{N}_m$, i.e., all eigenvalues of matrix A_p are equal 1 and all eigenvalues of matrix $A_p^{-1} = a_1 ... a_p$ are equal 1, then $A_p = I_m$, since a_n $(a_n a_n^\top = I_m)$ and A_p are unitary. ■

Proof of Proposition 1.5. For the self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{J}$ we obtain $\|\mathcal{J}\| = \max\{|\lambda_0^+|, |\lambda_0^-|\}$. Moreover, the operator $\mathcal{J}$ has the form $\mathcal{J} = \sum_{2m-1}^{1-2m}\mathcal{J}_j$, where $\mathcal{J}_j$ is some diagonal matrix. Note that $\mathcal{J}_j, \mathcal{J}_s, j\neq s$ have different diagonals. Using the identity $\|\mathcal{J}_j\| = \|\mathcal{J}_j\|_\infty$ and the simple estimate $\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty \leqslant \|\mathcal{J}\|$ we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty \leqslant \|\mathcal{J}\| \leqslant \sum_{-2m+1}^{2m-1}\|\mathcal{J}^{(j)}\| \leqslant (4m-1)\|\mathcal{J}\|_\infty,$$

which yields (1.14). If $\sum_1^p \operatorname{Tr} b_j = 0$, then $\sum\lambda_j(\tau) = 0$, which yields $\lambda_0^+ \leqslant 0 \leqslant \lambda_0^-$ and $\lambda_0^- - \lambda_0^+ = |\lambda_0^+| + |\lambda_{N_G}^-|$. Thus all these stimates gives (1.15). ■

4 Examples for the case $p = 2$, $m = 2$

We consider the case $p = 2$, $m = 2$, where real 2×2 matrices a_n, b_n satisfy

$$a_{n+2} = a_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta_{2n+1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b_{n+2} = b_n = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{2n} & \beta_{2n} \\ \beta_{2n} & \alpha_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_{n+4} = \alpha_n, \beta_{n+4} = \beta_n.$$

The determinant D, the function ρ and the Lyapunov functions are given by

$$D(\cdot, \tau) = \tau^4 - T_1\tau^3 + T\tau^2 - T_1\tau + 1 = (\tau^2 - 2\Delta_1\tau + 1)(\tau^2 - 2\Delta_2\tau + 1) = (2\tau)^2\Phi(\cdot, \nu),$$

$$T = \frac{T_1^2 - T_2}{2}, \quad \rho = \frac{-T_1^2 + 2T_2 + 8}{4}, \quad \Delta_1 = \frac{T_1 - \sqrt{4\rho}}{4}, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{T_1 + \sqrt{4\rho}}{4},$$

$$T_1 = 2z^2 + T_{11}z + T_{10}, \quad T_2 = z^4 + T_{23}z^3 + .. + T_{20}, \quad \Phi(\cdot, \nu) = \nu^2 - \frac{T_1}{2}\nu + \frac{T}{4} - \frac{1}{2},$$

where

$$T_{11} = -\sum_0^3 \alpha_n + (\beta_0 + \beta_2)\beta_3 + \beta_1(\beta_0 + \beta_2),$$

$$T_{10} = -4 + 2\beta_0\beta_2 + 2\beta_1\beta_3 + \prod_0^3 \beta_n + \alpha_0\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 - \beta_0(\alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_1) - \beta_2(\alpha_0\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_3), \quad (4.1)$$

$$T_{23} = -\sum_0^3 \alpha_n, \quad T_{22} = \sum_{0 \leqslant j < k \leqslant 3} \alpha_j\alpha_k - \sum_0^3 \beta_n^2 - 4,$$

$$T_{21} = (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)\beta_0^2 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_3)\beta_1^2 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1)\beta_2^2 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\beta_3^2$$
$$-2\beta_0(\beta_1 + \beta_3) - 2\beta_2(\beta_1 + \beta_3) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)(2 - \alpha_0\alpha_2) + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_2)(2 - \alpha_1\alpha_3),$$

$$T_{20} = 6 + \beta_0^2\beta_2^2 + \beta_1^2\beta_3^2 - 4\beta_1\beta_3 - 4\beta_0\beta_2 + \prod_0^3 \alpha_n - \alpha_0(\alpha_1\beta_2^2 + \alpha_3\beta_1^2) - \alpha_2(\alpha_1\beta_3^2 + \alpha_3\beta_0^2)$$
$$-2\alpha_0\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_1\alpha_3 + +2\beta_0(\alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_1) + 2\beta_2(\alpha_0\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_3). \quad (4.2)$$

Below we consider some specific cases.
1. All a_n, b_n are diagonal matrices. In this case all $\beta_n = 0$, the function $\rho = 0$ and

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}\Big(z - \frac{\alpha_0 + \alpha_2}{2}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\alpha_0 - \alpha_2}{2}\Big)^2 - 1, \quad \Delta_2 = \frac{1}{2}\Big(z - \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3}{2}\Big)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_3}{2}\Big)^2 - 1.$$

2. The constant coefficients. Let $\alpha_n = 0, \beta_n = \beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$T_1 = 2z^2 + 4\beta^2 z + \beta^4 + 4\beta^2 - 4, \quad \rho = \beta^2(2z + \beta^2)^2(4z + \beta^2 + 8).$$

The function ρ has 3 zeros z_1, z_2, z_3. If $\beta = 0$, then $\rho = 0, \Delta_1 \equiv \Delta_2 = \frac{z^2}{2}$.
If $\beta \neq 0, \pm\sqrt{8}$, then ρ has the zeros $z_1 = z_2 = -\frac{\beta^2}{2}, z_3 = -\frac{\beta^2+8}{4}$.
If $\beta = \pm\sqrt{8}$, then ρ has the zeros $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 - 4$.

We have the following equations for periodic and anti-periodic eigenvalues

$$4(\Delta_1-1)(\Delta_2-1)=(z+2)^2((z-2)^2-4\beta^2)=0,\quad 4(\Delta_1+1)(\Delta_2+1)=(z^2-2\beta^2)^2=0.$$

Hence for each $\beta\neq 0$ there exist two double anti-periodic eigenvalues $-\beta\sqrt{2},\beta\sqrt{2}$; one double periodic eigenvalue -2 and two simple periodic eigenvalues $2(1-b),2(1+b)$. If $\beta=\pm 2$, then there exists the periodic eigenvalue -2 of multiplicity 3.

3. Example $(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)=(1,0,-1,0)$**;** $(\beta_0,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)=(t,0,0,0)$**,** $t\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$. In this case we have

$$T_1=2z^2-5,\quad T_2=z^4-(t^2+5)z^2-t^2z+8,\quad 4\rho_t=4t^2z^2+4t^2z+1.$$

The Lyapunov functions and resonances $r_t^{\pm}$ (zeros of ρ) are given by

$$\Delta_{1,t}=\frac{2z^2-5-\sqrt{4\rho_t}}{4},\quad \Delta_{2,t}=\frac{2z^2-5+\sqrt{4\rho_t}}{4},\quad r_t^{\pm}=\frac{-1\pm\sqrt{1-t^{-2}}}{2}.$$

Each resonance $r_t^{\pm},t\in(0,1)$ is complex.

Let $t=1$. Then the Lyapunov functions are given by $\Delta_{1,1}=\frac{z^2-z-3}{2},\Delta_{2,1}=\frac{z^2+z-2}{2}$ and the spectral bands $\sigma_t^j=\{z\in\mathbb{R}:\Delta_{j,t}(z)\in[-1,1]\},j=1,2$ have the forms

$$\sigma_1^1=[\lambda_1^1,\lambda_2^1]\cup[\lambda_3^1,\lambda_4^1]=\Big[-\frac{\sqrt{21}-1}{2},-\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}\Big]\cup\Big[\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2},\frac{\sqrt{21}+1}{2}\Big],$$

$$\sigma_1^2=[\lambda_1^2,\lambda_2^2]\cup[\lambda_3^2,\lambda_4^2]=\Big[-\frac{\sqrt{17}+1}{2},-1\Big]\cup\Big[0,\frac{\sqrt{17}+1}{2}\Big].$$

where $\lambda_k^j,j=1,2,k=1,4$ are periodic eigenvalues, $\lambda_k^j,j=1,2,k=2,3$ are anti-periodic eigenvalues and the resonances $r_1^{\pm}=-\frac{1}{2}$ lies on the gap $(-1,0)$.

Let $t=1+\varepsilon$ for some small $\varepsilon>0$. Then the spectral bands have the forms

$$\sigma_t^j=[\lambda_{1,t}^j,\lambda_{2,t}^j]\cup[\lambda_{3,t}^j,\lambda_{4,t}^j],\quad \lambda_{i,t}^j=\lambda_i^j+o(1),\quad (i,j)\in\mathbb{N}_4\times\mathbb{N}_2,\ r_t^{\pm}=\frac{1+o(1)}{2}\quad as\quad t\downarrow 1.$$

Note that the "resonance" gap $\gamma_t=(r_t^-,r_t^+)\neq\emptyset$, since $r_t^-<r_t^+$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{J})\cap\overline{\gamma_t}=\emptyset$ as $t\downarrow 1$. Thus the interval $\gamma_t\subset(\lambda_{2,t}^2,\lambda_{3,t}^2)$, where $(\lambda_{2,t}^2,\lambda_{3,t}^2)$ is a gap in the spectrum of $\mathcal{J}$. This gives that "resonance gap" arises in the gap and the end points of the spectral bands are periodic or anti-periodic eigenvalues.

If $t=1$, then the resonances $r_1^+=r_1^-$ are not the branch points. If $t>1$, then the resonances $r_1^-<r_1^-$ are the real branch points. If $t\in(0,1)$, then the resonances $r_1^{\pm}\in\mathbb{C}_{\pm}$ are the complex branch points.

Example 4. Let $(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)=(0,1,0,1)$; $(\beta_0,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3)=(t,0,0,0)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then we obtain

$$T_1=2z^2-2z-3,\quad T_2=z^4-2z^3-(3+t^2)z^2+(4+t^2)z+4,$$

$$4\rho_t=(2z-1)^2+4t^2(z^2-z),\quad r_t^{\mp}=\frac{1}{2}\mp\frac{t}{2\sqrt{t^2+1}}\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Here $r_t^{\pm}$ are the zeros (resonances) of ρ_t and if $t \neq 0$, then $r_t^- < r_t^+$. Thus we have the resonance gap $\gamma_t = (r_t^-, r_t^+) \subset (0,1), t \neq 0$. The Lyapunov functions are given by

$$\Delta_{1,t} = \frac{1}{4}\Big(2z^2 - 2z - 3 - \sqrt{4\rho_t(z)}\Big), \qquad \Delta_{2,t} = \frac{1}{4}\Big(2z^2 - 2z - 3 + \sqrt{4\rho_t(z)}\Big).$$

Let $t = 0$. Then the Lyapunov functions have the forms $\Delta_{1,0} = \frac{z^2-2z-1}{2}$, $\Delta_{2,0} = \frac{z^2-2}{2}$ and $\Delta_{1,0}(\frac{1}{2}) = \Delta_{2,0}(\frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{7}{8}$. The spectral bands $\sigma_t^j = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : \Delta_{j,t}(z) \in [-1,1]\}, j = 1,2$ have the forms

$$\sigma_0^1 = [-1,3], \quad \sigma_0^2 = [-2,2].$$

The end points of spectral bands σ_0^1, σ_0^2 are periodic eigenvalues.

If $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$ for some small ε, then we obtain

$$\sigma_t^j = [\lambda_1^j(t), r_t^-] \cup [r_t^+, \lambda_2^j(t)], \quad r_t^- < r_t^+, \quad r_t^{\mp} = \frac{1+o(1)}{2},$$

$$\lambda_{1,t}^1 = -1 + o(1), \quad \lambda_{2,t}^1 = 3 + o(1), \quad \lambda_{1,t}^2 = -2 + o(1), \quad \lambda_{2,t}^2 = 2 + o(1) \quad as \quad t \to 0.$$

This yields $\sigma(\mathcal{J}) = \sigma_t^1 \cup \sigma_t^2 = [\lambda_{1,t}^2, r_t^-] \cup [r_t^+, \lambda_{4,t}^1]$, where $(r_t^-, r_t^+) \neq \emptyset$ is the resonance gap, since $r_t^- < r_t^+$, and $\lambda_{2,t}^1, \lambda_{2,t}^1$ are periodic eigenvalues.

5 Appendix

Let $\mathscr{H} = L^2\Big([0,2\pi), \frac{dx}{2\pi}, \mathcal{H}\Big) = \int_{[0,2\pi)}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}\frac{dx}{2\pi}$ be a constant fiber direct integral, where $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{pm}$. It is the Hilbert space of square integrable $\mathcal{H}$-valued functions. Let $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the set of all compactly supported functions $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})^m$.

Lemma 5.1. *The operator $U : l^2(\mathbb{Z})^m \to \mathscr{H}$, given by*

$$(Uf)_j(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixn} f_{j+np}, \qquad (x,j) \in [0,2\pi] \times \mathbb{N}_p, \tag{5.1}$$

is well defined for $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ and uniquely extendable to a unitary operator. Moreover,

$$U\mathcal{J}U^{-1} = \int_{[0,2\pi)}^{\oplus} L(e^{ix})\frac{dx}{2\pi}, \qquad L(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & 0 & ... & \tau^{-1}a_p^{\top} \\ a_1^{\top} & b_2 & a_2 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & a_2^{\top} & b_3 & ... & 0 \\ ... & ... & ... & ... & ... \\ \tau a_p & 0 & ... & a_{p-1}^{\top} & b_p \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\sigma(\mathcal{J}) = \sigma_{ac}(\mathcal{J}) = \bigcup_{x\in[0,2\pi)} \sigma(L(e^{ix})) = \bigcup_{x\in[0,2\pi)} \cup_{n=1}^{pm}\{\lambda_n(e^{ix})\}. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. We use standard arguments from [RS]. For $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{Z})$ the sum (5.1) is clearly convergent. For such functions f we compute

$$\|Uf\|^2 = \int_0^{2\pi} \|(Uf)(x)\|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \Big(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixn} f_{j+np}, \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixs} f_{j+sp} \Big) \frac{dx}{2\pi}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \Big(\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} f_{j+np} \overline{f}_{j+sp} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i(n-s)x} \frac{dx}{2\pi} \Big) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|f_{j+np}\|^2 = \|f\|^2.$$

Then U is well defined and has a unique extension to an isometry. To see that U is onto $\mathscr{H}$ we compute U^*. We define

$$(U^* g)_{j+pn} = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{inx} g_j(x) \frac{dx}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \quad (j,n) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

A direct computation shows that this is indeed the formula for the adjoint of U. Moreover,

$$\|U^* g\|^2 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \|(U^* g)_{j+pn}\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Big\| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{inx} g_j(x) \frac{dx}{2\pi} \Big\|^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{p} \int_0^{2\pi} \|g_j(x)\|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} = \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \|g_x(j)\|^2 \frac{dx}{2\pi} = \|g\|^2,$$

where we have used the Parseval relation for the Fourier series.

We verify (5.2). Using $a_{n+p} = a_n, b_{n+p} = b_n$ we obtain for $f \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{Z})$

$$(U\mathcal{J}f)_j(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} (Jf)_{j+pn} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} (a_{j+pn-1} f_{j+pn-1} + b_{j+pn} f_{j+pn} + a_{j+pn} f_{j+pn+1})$$

$$= a_{j-1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} f_{j+pn-1} + b_j \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} f_{j+pn} + + a_j \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} f_{j+pn+1}$$

$$= a_{j-1} (Uf)_x(j-1) + b_j (Uf)_x(j) + a_j (Uf)_x(j), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_p,$$

where we define $(Uf)_x(0)$ и $(Uf)_x(p+1)$ (since $(Uf))x(j)$ is defined for $j \in \mathbb{N}_p$) by

$$(Uf)_x(0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} f_{pn} = e^{-ix} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(n-1)x} f_{p+p(n-1)} = e^{-ix} (Uf)_x(p),$$

$$(Uf)_x(p+1) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-inx} f_{p+1+pn} = e^{ix} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(n+1)x} f_{1+p(n+1)} = e^{ix} (Uf)_x(1),$$

which yields $(U\mathcal{J}f)(x) = L(e^{ix})(Uf)(x)$.

The eigenvalues $\lambda_n(e^{ix}), n \in \mathbb{N}_{mp}$ are piecewise real analytic functions on $[0, 2\pi]$, see [RS]. This yields $\sigma_{sc}(\mathcal{J}) = \emptyset$. Moreover, the standard simple arguments from [DS] yield that the operator $\mathcal{J}$ has hot have eigenvalues. Then standard arguments from [RS] yield (5.3). ■

Lemma 5.2. *The following identity hold true*

$$\det(L(\tau)-zI_{pm})=\frac{D(z,\tau)}{c\tau^m}=(-1)^{pm}\prod_{n=1}^{pm}(z-\lambda_n(\tau)),\quad all\quad \tau\neq 0,z. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Let $L(\tau)f=\lambda(\tau)f$ for some eigenvalue $\lambda(\tau)$ and some eigenfunction $f=(f_n)_{n=1}^p\in\mathbb{C}^{pm}$. If $f_0=\tau^{-1}f_p$, then the definition of the matrix $\mathscr{M}_p$ gives $\mathscr{M}_p(\lambda(\tau))(f_0,f_1)^\top=\tau(f_0,f_1)^\top$. Thus τ is a multiplier of $\mathscr{M}_p(\lambda(\tau))$ and $\lambda(\tau)$ is a zero of $D(\cdot,\tau)$.

Firstly, let all eigenvalues $\lambda_n(\tau),n\in\mathbb{N}_{mp}$ of $L(\tau)$ be distinct for some $\tau\in\mathbb{C}$. Then $\lambda_n(\tau),n\in\mathbb{N}_{mp}$ are zeros of $D(\cdot,\tau)$, which yields (5.4) for all $\tau,z\in\mathbb{C}$, since the orders of $\det(L(\tau)-zI_{mp})$ and $D(z,\tau)$ are coincide.

Secondly, consider the general case. Let $r_n=n,n\in\mathbb{N}_{mp}$ and let $r_{n+pm}=r_n$ for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. Define the operator Jacobi $\mathcal{J}_t=\mathcal{J}+t\,\mathrm{diag}(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}},t\in\mathbb{R}$ and the corresponding matrix $L_t(\tau)=L(\tau)+t\,\mathrm{diag}(r_n)_{n=1}^{pm}$ (given by (5.2)) and let $D_t(z,\tau)$ be the corresponding determinant. Then all eigenvalues $\lambda_{t,n}(\tau),n\in\mathbb{N}_{mp}$ of $L_t(\tau)$ are distinct for some $\tau\in\mathbb{C}$ and $t\to\infty$, since $\lambda_{t,n}(\tau)=tr_n+o(t)$ as $t\to\infty$. Thus we obtain

$$\det(L_t(\tau)-zI_{pm})=\frac{D_t(z,\tau)}{c\tau^m}=(-1)^{pm}\prod_{n=1}^{pm}(z-\lambda_{t,n}(\tau)), \tag{5.5}$$

for some $\tau\neq 0$ and for all $z\in\mathbb{C}$ and all large t. The functions in (5.5) are polynomials in t, then identities (5.5) hold true for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and in particular, at $t=1$, which yields (5.4). ■

Acknowledgments. Evgeny Korotyaev was partly supported by DFG project BR691/23-1. The some part of this paper was written at the Math. Institute of Humboldt Univ., Berlin; Anton Kutsenko is grateful to the Institute for the hospitality.

References

[AS] Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, A., eds. Handbook of Mathematical Functions. N.Y.: Dover Publications Inc., 1992

[BBK] Badanin, A.; Brüning, J.; Korotyaev, E. The Lyapunov function for Schrödinger operator with periodic 2 × 2 matrix potential, J. Funct. Anal. 234(2006), 106-126

[BGGK] Bättig, D.; Grebert, B.; Guillot, J.-C.; Kappeler, T. Fibration of the phase space of the periodic Toda lattice. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 72 (1993), no. 6, 553–565.

[BCK] Brüning,J.; Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E. Inverse spectral analysis for finite matrix-valued Jacobi operators, preprint 2006

[CK] Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E. Spectral estimates for Schrödinger operator with periodic matrix potentials on the real line, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006(2006), 0-41

[CG] Clark, S.; Gesztesy, F. On Weyl–Titchmarsh theory for singular finite difference Hamiltonian systems, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 171 (2004) 151–184

[CGR] Clark, S.; Gesztesy, F.; Renger, W. Trace formulas and Borg-type theorems for matrix-valued Jacobi and Dirac finite difference operators. J. Differential Equations 219 (2005), no. 1, 144–182

[DS] Dunford, N.; Schwartz, J. Linear Operators Part II: Spectral Theory, Interscience, New York, 1988

[Fo] Forster O. Lectures on Riemann surfaces. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 81. Springer -Verlag, New York, 1991

[GKM] Gesztesy, F.; Kiselev, A.; Makarov, K.,A. Uniqueness results for matrix-valued Schrцdinger, Jacobi, and Dirac-type operators, Math. Nachr. 239–240 (2002) 103–145

[K] Korotyaev, E. Gap-length mapping for periodic Jacobi matrices, Russ. J. Math. Phys. 13(2006), no.1, 64-69

[KKr] Korotyaev, E.; Krasovsky, I. Spectral estimates for periodic Jacobi matrices, Commun. Math. Phys. 234(2003) 3, 517-532

[KKu] Korotyaev, E.; Kutsenko, A. Inverse problem for the discrete 1D Schrödinger operator with small periodic potentials, Commun. Math. Phys. 261, 673-692 (1006)

[vM] van Moerbeke, P. The spectrum of Jacobi matrices. Invent. Math. 37 (1976), no. 1, 45–81

[P] Perkolab, L.: An inverse problem for a periodic Jacobi matrix. (Russian) Teor. Funktsii Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 42(1984), 107-121

[RS] Reed, M.; Simon, B. Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Vol.IV, Analysis of operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978

[T] Teschl, G. Jacobi Operators and Completely Integrable Nonlinear Lattices, Mathematical Survey Monographs, vol. 72, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.